

# Higher Algebraic $K$ -theory for Twisted Laurent Series Rings Over Orders and Semisimple Algebras

Aderemi Kuku

Received: 5 May 2007 / Accepted: 9 July 2007 / Published online: 28 May 2008  
© Springer Science + Business Media B.V. 2008

**Abstract** Let  $R$  be the ring of integers in a number field  $F$ ,  $\Lambda$  any  $R$ -order in a semi-simple  $F$ -algebra  $\Sigma$ ,  $\alpha$  an  $R$ -automorphism of  $\Lambda$ . Denote the extension of  $\alpha$  to  $\Sigma$  also by  $\alpha$ . Let  $\Lambda_\alpha[T]$  (resp.  $\Sigma_\alpha[T]$ ) be the  $\alpha$ -twisted Laurent series ring over  $\Lambda$  (resp.  $\Sigma$ ). In this paper we prove that (i) There exist isomorphisms  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes K_n(\Lambda_\alpha[T]) \simeq \mathbb{Q} \otimes G_n(\Lambda_\alpha[T]) \simeq \mathbb{Q} \otimes K_n(\Sigma_\alpha[T])$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . (ii)  $G_n^{\text{pr}}(\Lambda_\alpha[T], \hat{Z}_l) \simeq G_n(\Lambda_\alpha[T], \hat{Z}_l)$  is an  $l$ -complete profinite Abelian group for all  $n \geq 2$ . (iii)  $\text{div } G_n^{\text{pr}}(\Lambda_\alpha[T], \hat{Z}_l) = 0$  for all  $n \geq 2$ . (iv)  $G_n(\Lambda_\alpha[T]) \rightarrow G_n^{\text{pr}}(\Lambda_\alpha[T], \hat{Z}_l)$  is injective with uniquely  $l$ -divisible cokernel (for all  $n \geq 2$ ). (v)  $K_{-1}(\Lambda)$ ,  $K_{-1}(\Lambda_\alpha[T])$  are finitely generated Abelian groups.

**Keywords**  $K$ -theory · Twisted Laurent series rings · Semisimple algebras · Orders · Virtually infinite cyclic group

**Mathematics Subject Classifications (2000)** 19D35 · 16S35 · 16H05 · 16S34

## 1. Introduction

Let  $R$  be the ring of integers in a number field  $F$ . The initial motivation for this work was a desire to obtain results on higher  $K$ -theory of the groupring  $RV$  of a virtually infinite cyclic group of the form  $V = G \rtimes_\alpha T$ , where  $G$  is a finite group,  $\alpha$

---

Presented by Alain Verschoren.

A. Kuku (✉)  
Mathematics Department, The University of Iowa,  
14 MacLane Hall, Iowa City, Iowa 52242, USA  
e-mail: akuku@math.uiowa.edu

A. Kuku  
Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik, Bonn, Germany

an automorphism of  $G$  and the action of the infinite cyclic group  $T = \langle t \rangle$  on  $G$  is given by  $\alpha(g) = tgt^{-1}$  for all  $g \in G$ .

Note that understanding the  $K$ -theory of  $RV$  is fundamental to the Farrell-Jones conjecture which asserts that  $K$ -theory of an arbitrary discrete group  $H$  should have as “building blocks” the  $K$ -theory of virtually cyclic subgroups of  $H$  (see [8]). A group  $V$  is virtually cyclic if it is either finite or virtually infinite cyclic (i.e., contains a finite index subgroup that is infinite cyclic). For results on higher  $K$ -theory of groupings of finite groups see [15, chapter 7] and associated references. There are two types of virtually infinite cyclic groups — one type of the form  $V = G \rtimes_{\alpha} T$  as described above and the other of the form  $V = G_0 *_H G_1$ , where the groups  $G_0, G_1, H$  are finite and  $[G_0 : H] = [G_1 : H] = 2$ . For some results on higher  $K$ -theory of both types of groups see [15, 7.5] or [16]. In this paper, we obtain results on higher  $K$ -theory of twisted Laurent series ring that translate into results on groupings  $RV$ ,  $V = G \rtimes_{\alpha} T$ , as we now explain.

If  $\alpha$  is an automorphism of a finite group  $G$ , we also denote by  $\alpha$  the automorphism induced on  $RG$  by  $\alpha$  and observe that for  $V = G_{\alpha} \rtimes T$ ,  $RV = (RG)_{\alpha}[T] = (RG)_{\alpha}[t, t^{-1}]$  is the  $\alpha$ -twisted Laurent series ring over the grouping  $RG$ . Now,  $RG$  is an  $R$ -order in the semi-simple  $F$ -algebra  $FG$  and so, we endeavour in this paper to obtain general results on higher  $K$ -theory of  $\Lambda_{\alpha}(T)$  where  $\Lambda$  is an arbitrary  $R$ -order in a semi-simple  $F$ -algebra  $\Sigma$  so that results on  $(RG)_{\alpha}[T]$  become examples and applications of our results.

Note also that an  $R$ -automorphism of  $\Lambda$  extends to an  $F$ -automorphism of  $\Sigma$  which we also denote by  $\alpha$ . We also study higher  $K$ -theory of  $\Sigma_{\alpha}[T]$  and prove in Theorem 1(b) that there exist isomorphisms

$$\mathbb{Q} \otimes K_n(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T]) \simeq \mathbb{Q} \otimes G_n(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T]) \simeq \mathbb{Q} \otimes K_n(\Sigma_{\alpha}[T])$$

for all  $n \geq 2$ . Hence  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes K_n(RV) \simeq \mathbb{Q} \otimes G_n(RV) \simeq \mathbb{Q} \otimes K_n(FV)$  for all  $n \geq 2$ . Since we have shown in Theorem 1(a) that  $G_n(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T])$  is a finitely generated Abelian group for all  $n \geq 1$ , it follows that  $K_n(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T])$ ,  $K_n(\Sigma_{\alpha}[T])$  and hence  $K_n(RV)$ ,  $K_n(FV)$  have finite torsion-free ranks for all  $n \geq 2$ .

We next investigate under what conditions  $G_n(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T])$  could actually be a finite group and show in Theorem 6 that when  $F$  is a totally real number field with ring of integers  $R$  and  $\Lambda$  any  $R$ -order in a semi-simple  $F$ -algebra, then  $G_{2(m+1)}(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T])$  is finite for all odd  $m \geq 1$ . Hence  $G_{2(m+1)}(RV)$  is finite.

In Section 3, we study profinite higher  $K$ -theory of  $\Lambda_{\alpha}[T]$  and prove that  $G_n^{\text{pr}}(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T], \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) = G_n(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T], \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$  are  $l$ -complete profinite Abelian groups;  $\text{div } G_n^{\text{pr}}(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T], \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) = 0$ ; and that the map  $G_n(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T]) \rightarrow G_n^{\text{pr}}(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T], \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$  is injective with uniquely  $l$ -divisible cokernel. Corresponding results follow when we replace  $\Lambda_{\alpha}[T]$  by  $RV$ .

In a final section, we prove that if  $F$  is an algebraic number field with ring of integers  $R$  and  $\Lambda$  any  $R$ -order in a semi-simple  $F$ -algebra  $\Sigma$ , then  $K_{-1}(\Lambda)$  and  $K_{-1}(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T])$  are finitely generated Abelian groups;  $NK_{-1}(\Lambda, \alpha) = 0$  and  $K_{-1}(\Lambda[t]) \simeq K_{-1}(\Lambda)$ . That  $K_{-1}(\Lambda)$  and  $K_{-1}(\Lambda_{\alpha}[T])$  are finitely generated for arbitrary  $R$ -orders  $\Lambda$  generalizes similar results by D. Carter for  $K_{-1}(RG)$  ( $G$  a finite group, see [4]) resp. by Farrell/Jones for  $K_{-1}(\mathbb{Z}V)$  (see [9]).

**Notes on notation** If  $\alpha$  is an automorphism of a ring  $A$ , we shall write  $A_{\alpha}[T] = A_{\alpha}[t, t^{-1}]$  for the  $\alpha$ -twisted Laurent series ring over  $A$ . Note that additively  $A_{\alpha}[T] =$

$A_\alpha[t, t^{-1}]$  with multiplication given by  $(at^i) \cdot (bt^j) = \alpha\alpha^{-1}(b)t^{i+j}$  for  $a, b \in A$ .  $A_\alpha[t]$  (resp.  $A_\alpha[t^{-1}]$ ) is the subring of  $A_\alpha[T]$  generated by  $A$  and  $t$  (resp.  $A$  and  $t^{-1}$ ). Call  $A_\alpha[t]$  the  $\alpha$ -twisted polynomial ring over  $A$ . We also have inclusion maps  $i : A \rightarrow A_\alpha[T], i^+ : A \rightarrow A_\alpha[t]$  and  $i^- : A \rightarrow A_\alpha[t^{-1}]$ .

The augmentation map  $\varepsilon : A_\alpha[t] \rightarrow A$  induces a group homomorphism  $\varepsilon_* : K_n(A_\alpha[t]) \rightarrow K_n(A)$  and we put  $NK_n(A, \alpha) := \ker \varepsilon_*$ . Since  $\varepsilon$  is split by  $i^+$ , we have  $K_n(A_\alpha[t]) \simeq K_n(A) \oplus NK_n(A, \alpha)$ .

If  $B$  is an additive Abelian group and  $m$  is a positive integer, we shall write  $B/m$  for  $B/mB$  and  $B[m]$  for the set of elements  $x$  of  $B$  such that  $mx = 0$ . We write  $\text{div } B$  for the subgroup of divisible elements of  $B$ . If  $l$  is a rational prime, we write  $B_l$  for the  $l$ -primary subgroup of  $B$ . Note that  $B_l = \bigcup B[l^s] = \varinjlim B[l^s]$ .

## 2. Higher K-theory of $\Lambda_\alpha[T], \Sigma_\alpha[T]$ ( $\Lambda$ Arbitrary Orders)

### 2.1. $K_n(\Lambda_\alpha[T]), G_n(\Lambda_\alpha[T]), K_n(\Sigma_\alpha[T])$

**2.1.1** Let  $R$  be the ring of integers in a number field  $F$ ,  $\Lambda$  any  $R$ -order in a semi-simple  $F$ -algebra  $\Sigma$ ,  $\alpha$  an  $R$ -automorphism of  $\Lambda$ . Then  $\alpha$  can be extended to an  $F$ -automorphism of  $\Sigma$  (since  $\Sigma = \Lambda \otimes_R F$ ). The aim of this section is to prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1** *Let  $F$  be an algebraic number field with ring of integers  $R$ ,  $\Lambda$  any  $R$ -order in a semi-simple  $F$ -algebra  $\Sigma$ ,  $\alpha$  an  $R$ -automorphism of  $\Lambda$ . Denote the extension of  $\alpha$  to  $\Sigma$  also by  $\alpha$ . Let  $\Lambda_\alpha[T]$  (resp.  $\Sigma_\alpha[T]$ ) be the  $\alpha$ -twisted Laurent series ring over  $\Lambda$  (resp.  $\Sigma$ ). Then we have*

- (a)  $G_n(\Lambda_\alpha[T])$  is a finitely generated Abelian group for all  $n \geq 1$ .
- (b) There exist isomorphisms:

$$\mathbb{Q} \otimes K_n(\Lambda_\alpha[T]) \simeq \mathbb{Q} \otimes G_n(\Lambda_\alpha[T]) \simeq \mathbb{Q} \otimes K_n(\Sigma_\alpha[T])$$

for  $n \geq 2$ .

Before proving Theorem 1 we state the following consequence of the result.

**Corollary 1** *Let  $V = G \rtimes_\alpha T$  be the virtually infinite cyclic subgroup where  $G$  is a finite group,  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(G)$  and the action of  $T$  on  $G$  is given by  $\alpha(g) = tgt^{-1}$ , for all  $g \in G$ . Then,*

- (a)  $G_n(RV)$  is a finitely generated Abelian group for all  $n \geq 1$ .
- (b)  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes K_n(RV) \simeq \mathbb{Q} \otimes G_n(RV) \simeq \mathbb{Q} \otimes K_n(FV)$  for all  $n \geq 2$ .

The proof of Theorem 1(b) will proceed in several steps (see Theorems 3, 4, 5 below). However, we first recall the following result: Theorem 2.

**Theorem 2** ([15, Theorem 7.3.2] or [16]) *Let  $R$  be the ring of integers in a number field  $F$ ,  $\Lambda$  any  $R$ -order in a semi-simple  $F$ -algebra  $\Sigma$ . If  $\alpha : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$  is an  $R$ -automorphism, then there exists an  $R$ -order  $\Gamma \subset \Sigma$ , such that*

- (1)  $\Lambda \subset \Gamma$ ;
- (2)  $\Gamma$  is  $\alpha$ -invariant;
- (3)  $\Gamma$  is (right) regular ring. In fact  $\Gamma$  is (right) hereditary.

**Theorem 3** Let  $R$  be the ring of integers in a number field  $F$ ,  $\Lambda$  any  $R$ -order in a semi-simple  $F$ -algebra,  $\alpha : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$  and  $R$ -automorphism of  $\Lambda$ ,  $\Gamma$  an  $\alpha$ -invariant order containing  $\Lambda$  as in Theorem 2,  $\Lambda_\alpha[T]$  (resp.  $\Gamma_\alpha[T]$ ) the  $\alpha$ -twisted Laurent series ring over  $\Lambda$  (resp.  $\Gamma$ ).  $\varphi : \Lambda_\alpha[T] \rightarrow \Gamma_\alpha[T]$  the map induced by the inclusion  $\Lambda \rightarrow \Gamma$ . Then the induced homomorphisms  $\varphi_n : K_n(\Lambda_\alpha[T]) \rightarrow K_n(\Gamma_\alpha[T])$  has torsion kernel and cokernel. Hence for all  $n \geq 2$  we have  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes K_n(\Lambda_\alpha[T]) \simeq \mathbb{Q} \otimes K_n(\Gamma_\alpha[T])$ .

*Proof* There exists a positive integer  $s$  such that  $s\Gamma \subset \Lambda$  (see [18] or [15]). Put  $q = s\Gamma$ . Then  $\underline{q}$  is an ideal of  $\Gamma$  and  $\Lambda$ . Put  $B = \Lambda/\underline{q}$ ,  $B' = \Gamma/\underline{q}$ . Then we have cartesian squares

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Lambda & \longrightarrow & \Gamma \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B & \longrightarrow & B' \end{array} \quad (1)$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Lambda_\alpha[T] & \longrightarrow & \Gamma_\alpha[T] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B_\alpha[T] & \longrightarrow & B'_\alpha[T]. \end{array} \quad (2)$$

So, by [5] and [19], we have a long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots \rightarrow K_{n+1}(B'_\alpha[T]) \left(\frac{1}{s}\right) &\rightarrow K_n(\Lambda_\alpha[T]) \left(\frac{1}{s}\right) \\ &\rightarrow K_n(\Gamma_\alpha[T]) \left(\frac{1}{s}\right) \oplus K_n(B_\alpha[T]) \left(\frac{1}{s}\right) \\ &\rightarrow K_n(B'_\alpha[T]) \left(\frac{1}{s}\right) \rightarrow \cdots \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Now,  $\Gamma$ ,  $B$ ,  $B'$  are quasi-regular rings, so are  $\Gamma_\alpha[T]$ ,  $B_\alpha[T]$  and  $B'_\alpha[T]$  (see [9]). If we write  $A$  for  $B_\alpha[T]$  or  $B'_\alpha[T]$ ,  $JA$  for the Jacobson's radical of  $A$ , then by [19]  $K_n(A, JA)$  is  $s$ -torsion since  $s$  annihilates  $A$  and so from the relative sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow K_n(A, JA) \rightarrow K_n(A) \rightarrow K_n(A/J) \rightarrow \cdots$$

we have  $K_n(A) \left(\frac{1}{s}\right) \simeq K_n(A/JA) \left(\frac{1}{s}\right)$ . We now claim that  $K_n(A) \left(\frac{1}{s}\right) \simeq K_n(A/JA) \left(\frac{1}{s}\right)$  is torsion.

*Proof of the claim* Note that  $A/JA \simeq (A'/JA')_\alpha[T]$  is a regular ring (see [9]) where  $A'/JA'$  is a finite semi-simple ring which is a finite direct product of matrix algebras over finite fields. Hence  $K_n((A'/JA')_\alpha[T])$  is a finite direct sum of  $K$ -groups of the form  $K_n((F_i)_\alpha[T])$  where  $F_i$  is a finite field. Also,  $(F_i)_\alpha[T]$  is a regular ring and so  $K_n((F_i)_\alpha[T]) \simeq G_n((F_i)_\alpha[T])$ .  $\square$

Now, for each  $F_i$ , we have by [15, Theorem 7.5.3(iii)] or [16], that there exists a long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots \rightarrow G_n(F_i) \longrightarrow G_n(F_i) \longrightarrow G_n((F_i)_\alpha[T]) \\ \longrightarrow G_{n-1}(F_i) \longrightarrow G_{n-1}(F_i) \rightarrow \cdots \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where each  $G_n(F_i) \simeq K_n(F_i)$  is a finite Abelian group for  $n \geq 2$  — by [15, Theorem 7.1.12] or by Quillen's result. So, from Eq. 4 above,  $G_n((F_i)_\alpha[T])$  is finite for all  $n \geq 2$ , i.e.  $K_n((F_i)_\alpha[T]) \simeq G_n((F_i)_\alpha[T])$  is a finite Abelian group. Hence  $(K_n(A'/JA')_\alpha[T])$ , as a finite direct sum of Abelian groups of the form  $K_n(F_i)_\alpha[T]$  is a finite group. Hence  $K_n((A'/JA')_\alpha[T])(\frac{1}{s})$  is torsion. So, for  $A = B_\alpha(T)$  or  $B'_\alpha[T]$ ,  $K_n(A)(\frac{1}{s}) \simeq K_n((A/JA)(\frac{1}{s}))$  is torsion and  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes K_n(A)(\frac{1}{s}) = 0$ .

So, by tensoring the Mayer-Vietoris exact sequence Eq. 3 with  $\mathbb{Q}$  we get an isomorphism

$$\mathbb{Q} \otimes K_n(\Lambda_\alpha[T]) \simeq \mathbb{Q} \otimes K_n(\Gamma_\alpha[T])$$

for all  $n \geq 2$ . □

**Theorem 4** *Let  $R, F, \Lambda, \alpha; \Gamma, \Lambda_\alpha[T], \Gamma_\alpha[T]$  be as in Theorem 3. Let  $\varphi_n : G_n(\Gamma_\alpha[T]) \rightarrow G_n(\Lambda_\alpha[T])$  be the homomorphism induced by the exact functor  $\mathcal{M}(\Gamma_\alpha[T]) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\Lambda_\alpha[T])$  given by ‘restriction of scalars’. Then for all  $n \geq 2$ ,  $\varphi_n$  has finite kernel and torsion cokernel and hence induces an isomorphism*

$$\mathbb{Q} \otimes G_n(\Gamma_\alpha[T]) \simeq \mathbb{Q} \otimes G_n(\Lambda_\alpha[T])$$

*Proof* First note that the exact functor  $\mathcal{M}(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\Lambda)$  given by ‘restriction of scalars’ yields group homomorphisms  $\delta_n : G_n(\Gamma) \rightarrow G_n(\Lambda)$ . Now, by replacing the maximal order  $\Gamma$  in the proof of [15, Theorem 7.2.3, p. 146] or [16] with the  $\alpha$ -invariant order  $\Gamma$  containing  $\Lambda$ , as in Theorem 2, we have that for all  $n \geq 1$ ,  $\delta_n : G_n(\Gamma) \rightarrow G_n(\Lambda)$  has finite kernel and cokernel. The proof in [15, Theorem 7.2.3] works for this  $\Gamma$  also. Now from [15, Theorem 7.5.3(b)] or [16], we have the following horizontal exact sequence and hence a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} G_n(\Gamma) & \xrightarrow{1-\alpha_*} & G_n(\Gamma) & \longrightarrow & G_n(\Gamma_\alpha[T]) & \longrightarrow & G_{n-1}(\Gamma) & \xrightarrow{1-\alpha_*} & G_{n-1}(\Gamma) \\ \downarrow \delta_n & & \downarrow \delta_n & & \downarrow \varphi_n & & \downarrow \delta_{n-1} & & \downarrow \delta_{n-1} \\ G_n(\Lambda) & \xrightarrow{1-\alpha_*} & G_n(\Lambda) & \longrightarrow & G_n(\Lambda_\alpha[T]) & \longrightarrow & G_{n-1}(\Lambda) & \xrightarrow{1-\alpha_*} & G_{n-1}(\Lambda) \end{array} \quad (5)$$

By taking kernels and cokernels of vertical arrows in Eq. 5, we have a top (resp. bottom) horizontal exact sequence consisting of kernels (resp. cokernels) of the vertical maps. Since we saw above that  $\delta_n$  has finite kernels and cokernels, we then have that  $\varphi_n : G_n(\Gamma_\alpha[T]) \rightarrow G_n(\Lambda_\alpha[T])$  has finite kernel and cokernel for each  $n \geq 2$ . Hence  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes G_n(\Gamma_\alpha[T]) \simeq \mathbb{Q} \otimes G_n(\Lambda_\alpha[T])$ . But  $\Gamma_\alpha[T]$  is regular. Hence

$$\mathbb{Q} \otimes K_n(\Gamma_\alpha[T]) \simeq \mathbb{Q} \otimes G_n(\Lambda_\alpha[T]).$$

□

**Theorem 5** Let  $R, F, \Sigma, \Lambda, \alpha, T$  be as in Theorem 1. Then for all  $n \geq 2$ , the map  $\theta_n : G_n(\Lambda_\alpha[T]) \rightarrow G_n(\Sigma_\alpha[T]) \simeq K_n(\Sigma_\alpha[T])$  induced by the canonical map  $\Lambda_\alpha[T] \rightarrow \Sigma_\alpha[T]$  has finite kernel and torsion cokernel. Hence

$$\mathbb{Q} \otimes G_n(\Lambda_\alpha[T]) \simeq \mathbb{Q} \otimes G_n(\Sigma_\alpha[T]) \simeq \mathbb{Q} \otimes K_n(\Sigma_\alpha[T]).$$

*Proof* Note that the canonical (inclusion) map  $\Lambda \xrightarrow{\rho} \Sigma$  induces a group homomorphism  $\rho_n : G_n(\Lambda) \rightarrow G_n(\Sigma) \simeq K_n(\Sigma)$  (note that  $G_n(\Sigma) \simeq K_n(\Sigma)$  since  $\Sigma$  is regular).

Now, by [15, Theorem 7.5.3(b)] or [16], we have the following horizontal exact sequences and hence a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} G_n(\Lambda) & \xrightarrow{1-\alpha_*} & G_n(\Lambda) & \longrightarrow & G_n(\Lambda_\alpha[T]) & \longrightarrow & G_{n-1}(\Lambda) & \longrightarrow & G_{n-1}(\Lambda) \\ \downarrow \rho_n & & \downarrow \rho_n & & \downarrow \theta_n & & \downarrow \rho_{n-1} & & \downarrow \rho_{n-1} \\ G_n(\Sigma) & \xrightarrow{1-\alpha_*} & G_n(\Sigma) & \longrightarrow & G_n(\Sigma_\alpha[T]) & \longrightarrow & G_{n-1}(\Sigma) & \longrightarrow & G_{n-1}(\Sigma) \end{array} \quad (6)$$

Now, from the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G_n(\Lambda) & \xrightarrow{\rho_n} & G_n(\Sigma) \simeq K_n(\Sigma) \\ & \searrow \delta_n & \nearrow \beta_n \\ & K_n(\Gamma) & \end{array} \quad (7)$$

we have

$$0 \rightarrow \ker \delta_n \rightarrow \ker \beta_n \rightarrow \ker \rho_n \rightarrow \operatorname{coker} \delta_n \rightarrow \operatorname{coker} \beta_n \rightarrow \operatorname{coker} \rho_n \rightarrow 0$$

Now, by the proof of Theorem 4,  $\ker \delta_n$  and  $\operatorname{coker} \delta_n$  are finite. Also by [15, Theorem 7.2.2] or [12],  $\ker \beta_n$  is finite and  $\operatorname{coker} \beta_n$  is torsion for all  $n \geq 2$ . Hence from diagram Eq. 7 above,  $\ker \rho_n$  is finite and  $\operatorname{coker} \rho_n$  is torsion for all  $n \geq 2$ . It then follows from the diagram Eq. 6 above that  $\ker \theta_n$  is finite and  $\operatorname{coker} \theta_n$  is torsion.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1* (a) From [15, Theorem 7.5.3(b)] or [16], we have an exact sequence

$$G_n(\Lambda) \xrightarrow{1-\alpha_*} G_n(\Lambda) \rightarrow G_n(\Lambda_\alpha[T]) \rightarrow G_{n-1}(\Lambda) \xrightarrow{1-\alpha_*} G_{n-1}(\Lambda)$$

Also by [15, Theorem 7.1.13] or [10]  $G_n(\Lambda)$  is a finitely generated Abelian group for all  $n \geq 1$ . Hence  $G_n(\Lambda_\alpha[T])$  is finitely generated for all  $n \geq 2$ . (b) That  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes K_n(\Lambda_\alpha[T]) \simeq \mathbb{Q} \otimes G_n(\Lambda_\alpha[T])$  follow from Theorem 2 i.e.  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes K_n(\Lambda_\alpha[T]) \simeq \mathbb{Q} \otimes K_n(\Gamma_\alpha[T])$  and Theorem 3 i.e.  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes G_n(\Lambda_\alpha[T]) \simeq \mathbb{Q} \otimes K_n(\Sigma_\alpha[T])$ .  $\square$

*Remark 1* Since by Theorem 1(a),  $G_n(\Lambda_\alpha[T])$  is finitely generated Abelian group for all  $n \geq 2$ , it follows that  $K_n(\Lambda_\alpha[T])$  and  $K_n(\Sigma_\alpha[T])$  have finite torsion free rank just like  $G_n(\Lambda_\alpha[T])$ .

Hence if  $V = G \rtimes_\alpha T$  is a virtually infinite cyclic group, then  $K_n(RV)$ ,  $K_n(FV)$  have finite torsion-free rank for  $n \geq 2$ .

## 2.2. Finiteness of $G_{2(m+1)}(\Lambda_\alpha[T])$

In this subsection, we investigate under what circumstances  $G_n(\Lambda_\alpha[T])$  could actually be a finite group. We prove below (see Theorem 6) that if  $F$  is a totally real field, then the group  $G_{2(m+1)}(\Lambda_\alpha[T])$  is finite for all odd positive integers  $m$ . We state this formally:

**Theorem 6** *Let  $R$  be the ring of integers in a totally real number field  $F$ ,  $\Lambda$  an  $R$ -order in a semi-simple  $F$ -algebra,  $\alpha : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$  and  $R$ -automorphism. Then for all odd positive integers  $m$ ,  $G_{2(m+1)}(\Lambda_\alpha[T])$  is a finite group. Hence in the notation of Theorem 1,  $G_{2(m+1)}(RV)$  is finite.*

The proof of Theorem 6 will make use of the following:

**Theorem 7** *Let  $F$  be a number field with ring of integers  $R$ ,  $\Lambda$  and  $R$ -order in a semi-simple  $F$ -algebra  $\Sigma$ . Then (a) For all  $n \geq 1$ ,  $G_{2n}(\Lambda)$  is a finite group. (b) If  $F$  is totally real, then  $G_{2m+1}(\Lambda)$  is also finite for all odd  $m \geq 1$ .*

*Proof* Part (a) is proved in [15] and [14]. See [15, Theorem 7.2.7].

If  $F$  is a totally real number field with ring of integers  $O_F$ , a similar proof works. We only have to show that  $K_{2m+1}(\Gamma)$  is finite if  $\Gamma$  is a maximal order in a central division algebra  $D$  over a totally real number field  $F$  with ring of integer  $O_F$ . Let the dimension of  $D$  over  $F$  be  $s^2$ . We know from [15, Theorem 7.1.11] or [11] that  $K_{2m+1}(\Gamma)$  is finitely generated. We only need to show that  $K_{2m+1}(\Gamma)$  is torsion. Let  $\text{tr} : K_{2m+1}(\Gamma) \rightarrow K_{2m+1}(O_F)$  be the transfer map and  $i : K_{2m+1}(O_F) \rightarrow K_{2m+1}(\Gamma)$  the map induced by the inclusion map  $O_F \rightarrow \Gamma$ . Let  $x \in K_{2m+1}(\Gamma)$ . Then  $i \circ \text{tr}(x) = x^{s^2}$ . But  $K_{2m+1}(\Gamma)$  is finite since it is also finitely generated. (See [2] for the proof that  $K_{2m+1}(O_F)$  is torsion).  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 6* Assume that  $m$  is an odd positive integer. Then we have an exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow G_{2m+2}(\Lambda) \xrightarrow{1-\alpha_n} G_{2m+2}(\Lambda) \xrightarrow{\beta} G_{2m+2}(\Lambda_\alpha[T]) \xrightarrow{\gamma} G_{2m+1}(\Lambda) \rightarrow \cdots$$

where  $G_{2m+2}(\Lambda)$  is finite by Theorem 7(a) and  $G_{2m+1}(\Lambda)$  is finite by Theorem 7(b). So  $G_{2m+2}(\Lambda_\alpha[T]) / \text{Im } \beta \simeq \text{Im } \gamma$ .

But  $\text{Im } \beta$  is finite and  $\text{Im } \gamma$  is also finite as a subgroup of the finite group  $G_{2m+1}(\Lambda)$ . Note that  $\text{Im } \beta$  is finite as a homomorphic image of the finite group  $G_{2m+2}(\Lambda)$ . Hence  $G_{2m+2}(\Lambda_\alpha[T])$  is finite for all odd positive integers  $m$ .  $\square$

## 3. Mod- $l^s$ and Profinite Higher K-theory of $\Lambda_\alpha(T)$

### 3.1. Mod- $l^s$ Theory

**3.1.1** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an exact category,  $l$  a rational prime,  $s$  a positive integer,  $M_{\mathbb{F}_l}^{n+1}$  the  $(n+1)$ -dimensional mod- $l^s$ -space, i.e. the space obtained from  $S^n$  by attaching an  $(n+1)$ -cell via a map of degree  $l^s$  (see [3, 15, 17]).

If  $X$  is an  $H$ -space, let  $[M_{\mathbb{F}}^{n+1}, X]$  be the set of homotopy classes of maps from  $M_{\mathbb{F}}^{n+1}$  to  $X$ . We shall write  $\pi_{n+1}(X, \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{F})$  for  $[M_{\mathbb{F}}^{n+1}, X]$ . If  $\mathcal{C}$  is an exact category and we put  $X = BQC$ , we write  $K_n(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{F})$  for  $\pi_{n+1}(BQC)$ , we write  $K_n(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{F})$  for  $\pi_{n+1}(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{F})$  and  $K_0\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{F}$  for  $K_0(\mathcal{C}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{F}$ . We shall refer to  $K_n(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{F})$  as  $\text{mod-}\mathbb{F}$   $K$ -theory of  $\mathcal{C}$ .

3.1.2 From [15, 8.1.2] or [13], we have an exact sequence

$$K_n(\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{F}} K_n(\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\rho} K_n(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{F}) \xrightarrow{\beta} K_{n-1}(\mathcal{C}) \longrightarrow K_{n-1}(\mathcal{C})$$

and hence a short exact sequence for all  $n \geq 2$

$$0 \longrightarrow K_n(\mathcal{C})/\mathbb{F} \longrightarrow K_n(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{F}) \longrightarrow K_n(\mathcal{C})[\mathbb{F}] \longrightarrow 0$$

where  $K_n(\mathcal{C})[\mathbb{F}] = \{x \in K_n(\mathcal{C}) \mid \mathbb{F}x = 0\}$ .

*Example 1*

- (i) Let  $A$  be a ring with identity and  $\mathcal{P}(A)$  the category of finitely generated projective  $A$ -modules. We write  $K_n(A, \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{F})$  for  $K_n(\mathcal{P}(A), \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{F})$ . We are interested in  $A = \Lambda_\alpha(T)$ . Note that  $K_n(A, \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{F})$  is also  $\pi_n(BGL(A)^+, \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{F})$ .
- (ii) Let  $A$  be a Noetherian ring and  $\mathcal{M}(A)$  the category of finitely generated  $A$ -modules. We write  $G_n(A, \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{F})$  for  $K_n(\mathcal{M}(A), \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{F})$ .
- (iii) Let  $Y$  be a scheme,  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{P}(Y)$  the category of locally free sheaves of  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -modules of finite rank. We write  $K_n(X, \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{F})$  for  $K_n(\mathcal{P}(Y), \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{F})$  and observe that for  $Y = \text{Spec}(A)$ ,  $A$  a commutative ring, we recover  $K_n(A, \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{F})$  as in (i).
- (iv) Let  $Y$  be a Noetherian scheme and  $\mathcal{M}(Y)$  the category of coherent sheaves of  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -modules. We write  $G_n(Y, \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{F})$  for  $K_n(\mathcal{M}(Y), \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{F})$  and when  $Y = \text{Spec}(A)$ , where  $A$  is commutative, then we recover  $G_n(A, \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{F})$  as in (ii) above.
- (v) It follows from Section 3.1.2 that we have exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow K_n(\Lambda_\alpha[T])/\mathbb{F} \longrightarrow K_n(\Lambda_\alpha[T], \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{F}) \longrightarrow K_n(\Lambda_\alpha[T])[\mathbb{F}] \longrightarrow 0$$

and

$$0 \longrightarrow G_n(\Lambda_\alpha[T])/\mathbb{F} \longrightarrow G_n(\Lambda_\alpha[T], \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{F}) \longrightarrow G_n(\Lambda_\alpha[T])[\mathbb{F}] \longrightarrow 0$$

## 3.2. Profinite Higher $K$ -theory

3.2.1 Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an exact category,  $l$  a rational prime,  $s$  a positive integer  $M_{\mathbb{F}}^{n+1} = \varprojlim M_{\mathbb{F}}^{n+1}$ . We define the profinite  $K$ -theory of  $\mathcal{C}$  by  $K_n^{\text{pf}}(\mathcal{C}, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) := [M_{\mathbb{F}}^{n+1}, BQC]$ . We write  $K_n(\mathcal{C}, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$  for  $\varprojlim_s K_n(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{F})$ .

For more details on these constructions and their properties, see [15, Chapter 8] or [13].



*Example 2*

- (i) For  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{P}(A)$  as in Example 1(i), we shall write  $K_n^{\text{pr}}(A, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$  for  $K_n^{\text{pr}}(\mathcal{P}(A), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$  and  $K_n(A, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$  for  $K_n(\mathcal{P}(A), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$ .
- (ii) For  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{M}(A)$  as in Example 1(ii), we shall write  $G_n^{\text{pr}}(A, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$  for  $K_n^{\text{pr}}(\mathcal{M}(A), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$  and  $G_n(A, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$  for  $K_n(\mathcal{M}(A), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$ .
- (iii) For  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{P}(Y)$  as in Example 1(iii) we shall write  $K_n^{\text{pr}}(Y, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$  for  $K_n^{\text{pr}}(\mathcal{P}(Y), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$  and  $K_n(Y, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$  for  $K_n(\mathcal{P}(Y), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$ .
- (iv) For  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{M}(Y)$  as in Example 1(iv), we shall write  $G_n^{\text{pr}}(Y, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$  for  $K_n^{\text{pr}}(Y, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$  and  $G_n(Y, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) = K_n(\mathcal{M}(Y), \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$ .

*Remark 2* From the results obtained earlier by this author for general exact categories, (see [15, Chapter 8] or [13]) we can already deduce the following for  $\mathcal{P}(\Lambda_\alpha[T])$  and  $\mathcal{M}(\Lambda_\alpha[T])$ .

- (i) From [15, Lemma 8.2.1], we have the following exact sequences for  $n \geq 1$ .
  - (a)  $0 \longrightarrow \varprojlim_s K_{n+1}(\Lambda_\alpha[T], \mathbb{Z}/l^s) \longrightarrow K_n^{\text{pr}}(\Lambda_\alpha[T], \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) \longrightarrow K_n(\Lambda_\alpha[T], \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) \longrightarrow 0$
  - (b)  $0 \longrightarrow \varprojlim_s G_{n+1}(\Lambda_\alpha[T], \mathbb{Z}/l^s) \longrightarrow G_n^{\text{pr}}(\Lambda_\alpha[T], \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) \longrightarrow G_n(\Lambda_\alpha[T], \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) \longrightarrow 0$ .
- (ii) From [15, Theorem 8.2.2] we have for all  $n \geq 2$ ,
  - (a)  $\varprojlim_s K_n^{\text{pr}}(\Lambda_\alpha[T], \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)[l^s] = 0$ ;  $\varprojlim_s K_{n+1}(\Lambda_\alpha[T], \mathbb{Z}/l^s) = \text{div } K_n^{\text{pr}}(\Lambda_\alpha[T], \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$ ;
  - (b)  $\varprojlim_s G_n^{\text{pr}}(\Lambda_\alpha[T], \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)[l^s] = 0$ ;  $\varprojlim_s G_{n+1}(\Lambda_\alpha[T], \mathbb{Z}/l^s) = \text{div } G_n^{\text{pr}}(\Lambda_\alpha[T], \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$ .
- (iii) From [15, Lemma 8.2.2] or [13], we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (a) \quad & \varprojlim_s K_n^{\text{pr}}(\Lambda_\alpha[T], \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)/l^s \simeq K_n(\Lambda_\alpha[T], \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l); \\
 (b) \quad & \varprojlim_s G_n^{\text{pr}}(\Lambda_\alpha[T], \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)/l^s \simeq G_n(\Lambda_\alpha[T], \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l).
 \end{aligned}$$

### 3.3. Some Computations

**3.3.1** The aim of this subsection is to prove Theorem 8 below. Before stating the result, we first explain the construction of map  $\varphi$  in Theorem 8(c) below.

Note that for any exact category  $\mathcal{C}$ , the natural map  $M_{\infty}^{n+1} \rightarrow S^{n+1}$  induces a map

$$\begin{aligned}
 [S^{n+1}, BQC] & \xrightarrow{\varphi} [M_{\infty}^{n+1}, BQC], \quad \text{i.e.,} \\
 K_n(\mathcal{C}) & \xrightarrow{\varphi} K_n^{\text{pr}}(\mathcal{C}, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l).
 \end{aligned}$$

So when  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{M}(\Lambda_\alpha[T])$  we have a map

$$\varphi : G_n(\Lambda_\alpha[T]) \longrightarrow G_n^{\text{pr}}(\Lambda_\alpha[T], \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l).$$

**Theorem 8** Let  $R$  be the ring of integers in a number field  $F$ ,  $\Lambda$  any  $R$ -order in a semi-simple  $F$ -algebra  $\Sigma$ ,  $\alpha : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$  an  $R$ -automorphism of  $\Lambda$ ,  $\Lambda_\alpha[T]$  the  $\alpha$ -twisted Laurent series ring over  $\Lambda$ . Then, for all  $n \geq 2$ :

- (a)  $\operatorname{div} G_n^{\text{pr}}(\Lambda_\alpha[T], \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) = 0$ .
- (b)  $G_n^{\text{pr}}(\Lambda_\alpha[T], \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) \simeq G_n(\Lambda_\alpha[T], \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$  is an  $l$ -complete profinite Abelian group.
- (c) The map  $G_n(\Lambda_\alpha[T]) \rightarrow G_n^{\text{pr}}(\Lambda_\alpha[T], \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$  is injective with uniquely  $l$ -divisible cokernel.

*Proof* (a) From Remark 2(ii)(b), we have

$$\varprojlim_s G_{n+1}(\Lambda_\alpha[T], \mathbb{Z}/l^s) = \operatorname{div} G_n^{\text{pr}}(\Lambda_\alpha[T], \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l), \quad (8)$$

for all  $n \geq 2$ . Now, by Theorem 1(a)  $G_n(\Lambda_\alpha[T])$  is finitely generated for all  $n \geq 1$ . Hence  $G_n(\Lambda_\alpha[T], \mathbb{Z}/l^s)$  is finite for all  $n \geq 1$ . In particular,  $G_{n+1}(\Lambda_\alpha[T], \mathbb{Z}/l^s)$  is finite for all  $n \geq 2$  and so  $\varprojlim_s G_{n+1}(\Lambda_\alpha[T], \mathbb{Z}/l^s) = 0$  for all  $n \geq 2$ . Hence from Eq. 8,  $\operatorname{div} G_n^{\text{pr}}(\Lambda_\alpha[T], \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) = 0$  for all  $n \geq 2$ .

(b) We saw in (a) above that  $G_n(\Lambda_\alpha[T], \mathbb{Z}/l^s)$  is a finite group for all  $n \geq 1$ . Hence in the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \varprojlim_s G_{n+1}(\Lambda_\alpha[T], \mathbb{Z}/l^s) \rightarrow G_n^{\text{pr}}(\Lambda_\alpha[T], \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) \rightarrow G_n(\Lambda_\alpha[T], \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) \rightarrow 0$$

we have  $\varprojlim_s G_{n+1}(\Lambda_\alpha[T], \mathbb{Z}/l^s) = 0$ . Hence,

$$G_n^{\text{pr}}(\Lambda_\alpha[T], \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) \simeq G_n(\Lambda_\alpha[T], \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l). \quad (9)$$

Now, by Remark 2(ii)(b),

$$G_n^{\text{pr}}(\Lambda_\alpha[T], \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)/l^s \simeq G_n(\Lambda_\alpha[T], \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l). \quad (10)$$

So, from Eqs. 9 and 10  $G_n^{\text{pr}}(\Lambda_\alpha[T], \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)/l^s \simeq G_n^{\text{pr}}(\Lambda_\alpha[T], \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$  i.e.  $G_n^{\text{pr}}(\Lambda_\alpha[T], \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) \simeq G_n(\Lambda_\alpha[T], \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$  is  $l$ -complete. It is profinite since  $G_n(\Lambda_\alpha[T], \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_l) = \varprojlim G_n(\Lambda_\alpha[T], \mathbb{Z}/l^s)$  where each  $G_n(\Lambda_\alpha[T], \mathbb{Z}/l^s)$  is a finite group.

(c) Since for all  $n \geq 1$ ,  $G_n(\Lambda_\alpha[T])$  is a finitely generated Abelian group (see 2.1.1(a)), it follows that  $G_n(\Lambda_\alpha[T])$  is a finite group for each  $n$ . Hence  $G_n(\Lambda_\alpha[T])_l$  has no non-trivial divisible subgroups. Hence by [15, Corollary 8.2.1] or [13], kernel and cokernel of  $\varphi$  are uniquely  $l$ -divisible. But  $G_n(\Lambda_\alpha[T])$  is finitely generated and so,  $\ker \phi = \operatorname{div} \ker \phi = 0$ , as subgroups of  $G_n(\Lambda_\alpha[T])$ .  $\square$

#### 4. $K_{-1}(\Lambda)$ , $K_{-1}(\Lambda_\alpha[T])$ , $\Lambda$ Arbitrary Orders

##### 4.1. Finite Generation of $K_{-1}(\Lambda)$ , $K_{-1}(\Lambda_\alpha[T])$ .

Let  $R$  be the ring of integers in a number field  $F$ ,  $\Lambda$  any  $R$ -order in a semi-simple  $F$ -algebra  $\Sigma$ ,  $\alpha : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$  and  $R$ -automorphism of  $\Lambda$ ,  $\Lambda_\alpha[T]$ , the  $\alpha$ -twisted Laurent polynomial ring over  $\Lambda$ . We prove in this section that  $K_{-1}(\Lambda)$  and  $K_{-1}(\Lambda_\alpha[T])$  are finitely generated Abelian groups for arbitrary  $R$ -orders  $\Lambda$  in semi-simple  $F$ -algebras. Note that the proof in [9] by Farrell/Jones is for  $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}G$ ,  $G$  a finite group.

Also D. Carter shows in [4] that  $K_{-1}(RG)$  is finitely generated and here we show that this result also holds more generally for arbitrary orders.

Finally we prove also that  $NK_{-1}(\Lambda, \alpha) = 0$  and so,  $K_{-1}(\Lambda_\alpha[t]) \simeq K_{-1}(\Lambda)$ .

**Theorem 9** *Let  $F$  be an algebraic number field with ring of integers  $R$ ,  $\Lambda$  any  $R$ -order in a semi-simple  $F$ -algebra  $\Sigma$ ,  $\alpha : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$  an  $R$ -automorphism of  $\Lambda$ ,  $\Lambda_\alpha[T]$  the  $\alpha$ -twisted Laurent series ring over  $\Lambda$ . Then*

- (a)  $K_{-1}(\Lambda)$  is a finitely generated Abelian group.
- (b)  $K_{-1}(\Lambda_\alpha[T])$  is a finitely generated Abelian group.
- (c)  $K_{-1}(\Lambda) \simeq K_{-1}(\Lambda_\alpha[t])$ .

*Proof* (a) Let  $\Gamma$  be a maximal  $R$ -order containing  $\Lambda$ . Then, there exists a positive integer  $s$  such that  $s\Gamma \subset \Lambda$ . Then  $\underline{q} = s\Gamma$  is an ideal of  $\Lambda$  and  $\Gamma$ . Put  $B = \Lambda/\underline{q}$ ,  $B' = \Gamma/\underline{q}$ . Then we have a cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Lambda & \longrightarrow & \Gamma \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B & \longrightarrow & B' \end{array}$$

and hence a Mayer-Vietoris sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots \rightarrow K_1(B') \rightarrow K_0(\Lambda) \rightarrow K_0(\Gamma) \oplus K_0(B) \rightarrow K_0(B') \\ \rightarrow K_{-1}(\Lambda) \rightarrow K_{-1}(\Gamma) \oplus K_{-1}(B) \rightarrow \cdots \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Now by [1, Prop. 10.1, p. 685],  $K_{-i}(A) = 0$  for  $i \geq 1$  and any quasi-regular ring  $A$ . Note that  $B$ ,  $B'$  are finite rings and hence quasi-regular. Also  $\Gamma$  is quasi-regular. Hence for  $A = B$ ,  $B'$  or  $\Gamma$ ,  $K_{-i}(A) = 0$  for  $i \geq 1$ . So the sequence Eq. 11 becomes

$$\cdots \rightarrow K_0(\Lambda) \rightarrow K_0(\Gamma) \oplus K_0(B) \rightarrow K_0(B') \rightarrow K_{-1}(\Lambda) \rightarrow 0. \quad (12)$$

To show that  $K_{-1}(\Lambda)$  is finitely generated it suffices from Eq. 12 to show that  $K_0(B')$  is finitely generated. Now  $B'$  is a finite Artinian ring and so, by [1, p. 465],  $K_0(B') \simeq K_0(B'/JB')$  where  $JB' = \text{radical of } B'$ . But  $B'/JB'$  is a finite semi-simple ring and so,  $K_0(B') \simeq K_0(B'/JB')$  is a finite direct sum of  $K_0$  of (finite) fields each of which is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Hence  $K_0(B')$  is a (free) Abelian group of finite rank and hence is finitely generated. Hence  $K_{-1}(\Lambda)$  is finitely generated.

(b) Let  $\Gamma$  be an  $\alpha$ -invariant order containing  $\Lambda$  as in Corollary 1. Let  $s$  be a positive integer such that  $s\Gamma \subset \Lambda$  and put  $\underline{q} = s\Gamma$ ,  $B = \Lambda/\underline{q}$ ,  $B' = \Gamma/\underline{q}$ . Then we have cartesian squares

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Lambda & \longrightarrow & \Gamma \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B & \longrightarrow & B' \end{array} \quad (13)$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Lambda_\alpha[T] & \longrightarrow & \Gamma_\alpha[T] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B_\alpha[T] & \longrightarrow & B'_\alpha[T] \end{array} \quad (14)$$

and hence a Mayer-Vietoris sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots \longrightarrow K_0(\Lambda_\alpha[T]) &\longrightarrow K_0(\Gamma_\alpha[T]) \oplus K_0(B_\alpha[T]) \\ &\longrightarrow K_0(B'_\alpha[T]) \longrightarrow K_{-1}(\Lambda_\alpha[T]) \longrightarrow 0. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where  $\Gamma_\alpha[T]$ ,  $B_\alpha[T]$  and  $B'_\alpha[T]$  are quasi-regular (see [9]). If  $A = \Gamma_\alpha[T]$ ,  $B_\alpha[T]$  or  $B'_\alpha[T]$  and  $T^n$  is the free Abelian group of rank  $n$ . Then by [1, Prop. 10.1],  $K_{-i}(A) = 0$  for  $i \geq 1$ .

Also, by Serre's theorem  $K_0(A) \rightarrow K_0(A[T^n])$  is an epimorphism (see [7]). Since  $K_{-n}(A)$  is a direct summand of the cokernel of  $K_0(A) \rightarrow K_0(A[T^n])$  we have  $K_{-n}(A) = 0$  for  $n \geq 1$ . So from the exact sequence Eq. 11, we have  $K_{-n}(\Lambda_\alpha[T]) = 0$  for  $n \geq 2$  and  $K_0(B'_\alpha[T]) \rightarrow K_{-1}(\Lambda_\alpha[T])$  is an epimorphism.

By mapping the Mayer-Vietoris sequence associated with the cartesian square Eq. 11 to the Mayer-Vietoris sequence associated with square Eq. 12, we have a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_0(B') & \longrightarrow & K_{-1}(\Lambda) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K_0(B'_\alpha[T]) & \longrightarrow & K_{-1}(\Lambda_\alpha[T]). \end{array} \quad (16)$$

To prove that  $K_{-1}(\Lambda) \rightarrow K_{-1}(\Lambda_\alpha[T])$  is an epimorphism, it suffices to prove that  $K_0(B') \rightarrow K_0(B'_\alpha[T])$  is an epimorphism in the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_0(B') & \longrightarrow & K_0(B'_\alpha[T]) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K_0(B'/JB') & \longrightarrow & K_0((B'/JB')_\alpha[T]) \end{array}$$

where the vertical maps are isomorphisms. Also by [7, Theorem 27], the map  $K_0(B'/JB') \rightarrow K_0((B'/JB')_\alpha[T])$  is an epimorphism. Hence  $K_0(B') \rightarrow K_0(B'_\alpha[T])$  is an epimorphism. So  $K_{-1}(\Lambda) \rightarrow K_{-1}(\Lambda_\alpha[T])$  is an epimorphism. Since by (a),  $K_{-1}(\Lambda)$  is finitely generated, then  $K_{-1}(\Lambda_\alpha[T])$  is also finitely generated.

(c) By definition,  $K_{-1}(\Lambda_\alpha[t]) \simeq K_{-1}(\Lambda) \oplus NK_{-1}(\Lambda, \alpha)$ . So it suffices to show that  $NK_{-1}(\Lambda, \alpha) = 0$ .

Let  $\Lambda, \Gamma, B = \Lambda/\underline{q}$ ,  $B' = \Gamma/\underline{q}$  be as in the proof of (a) (b). Then we have two cartesian squares

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Lambda & \longrightarrow & \Gamma \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B & \longrightarrow & B' \end{array} \quad (17)$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Lambda_\alpha[t] & \longrightarrow & \Gamma_\alpha[t] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B_\alpha[t] & \longrightarrow & B'_\alpha[t] \end{array} \quad (18)$$

where  $\Gamma_\alpha[t]$ ,  $B_\alpha[t]$  and  $B'_\alpha[t]$  are quasi-regular as well as  $\Gamma$ ,  $B$ ,  $B'$ . Hence we have Mayer-Vietoris sequences

$$\cdots \rightarrow K_0(\Lambda_\alpha[t]) \rightarrow K_0(\Gamma_\alpha[t]) \oplus K_0(B_\alpha[t]) \rightarrow K_0(B'_\alpha[t]) \rightarrow K_{-1}(\Lambda_\alpha[t]) \rightarrow \cdots \quad (19)$$

and

$$\cdots \rightarrow K_0(\Lambda) \rightarrow K_0(\Gamma) \rightarrow K_0(B) \rightarrow K_0(B') \rightarrow K_{-1}(\Lambda) \rightarrow \cdots \quad (20)$$

where for  $A = \Gamma, B, B', \Gamma_\alpha[t], B_\alpha[t], B'_\alpha[t]$ ,  $K_{-i}(A) = 0$  for  $i \geq 1$  (see [1, Prop. 10.1]). By mapping Eqs. 19 to 20 and taking kernels, we have that

$$NK_{-1}(\Lambda, \alpha) = \text{coker}(NK_0(\Gamma, \alpha) \oplus NK_0(B, \alpha) \rightarrow NK_0(B', \alpha)).$$

So it suffices to show that  $NK_0(B', \alpha) = 0$ . Since  $B', B'_\alpha[t]$  are quasi-regular, the result follows from [6, Lemma 2.4]. So  $NK_{-1}(\Lambda, \alpha) = 0$  and hence  $K_{-1}(\Lambda[t]) \simeq K_{-1}(\Lambda)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2** *let  $R$  be the ring of integers in a number field  $F$ ,  $V = G \rtimes_\alpha T$  a virtually infinite cyclic group where  $G$  is a finite group and the action of the infinite cyclic group  $T$  on  $G$  is given by  $\alpha(g) = tgt^{-1}$  for all  $g \in G$ . Then  $K_{-1}(RV)$  is a finitely generated Abelian group.*

**Corollary 3** *Let  $\alpha$  be an automorphism of a finite group  $G$ ,  $R$  the ring of integers in a number field  $F$ . Denote the induced automorphism on  $RG$  also by  $\alpha$ . Then  $K_{-1}(RG) \simeq K_{-1}((RG)_\alpha[t])$  is a finitely generated Abelian group.*

**Acknowledgements** Part of the work reported in this article was done while I was visiting University of Bielefeld, Germany and IHES, Paris. It was concluded and written up while I was visiting the Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik, Bonn, Germany. I like to thank the three institutions for hospitality and financial support.

## References

1. Bass, H.: Algebraic K-theory. W.A. Benjamin, Menlo Park (1968)
2. Borel, A.: Stable real cohomology of arithmetic groups. Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. **7**(4), 235–272 (1984)
3. Browder, W.: Algebraic K-theory with Coefficients  $\mathbb{Z}/p$ . Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 657, pp. 40–84. Springer, Berlin (1978)
4. Carter, D.W.: Localization in lower algebraic K-theory. Comm. Algebra **8**(7), 603–622 (1980)
5. Charney, R.: A Note on Excision in K-theory. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1046, pp. 47–48. Springer, Berlin (1984)
6. Conolly, F., Prassidis, S.: On the exponents of NK groups of virtually infinite cyclic groups. Car. Math. Bull. **45**(2), 180–195 (2002)
7. Farrell, F.T., Hsiang, W.C.: A formula for  $K_1(R\alpha[T])$ . Applications of Categorical Algebra. Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics, vol. 17, pp. 192–218. American Mathematical Society, Providence (1970)

8. Farrell, F.T., Jones, L.E.: Isomorphisms conjectures in algebraic  $K$ -theory. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **6**, 249–297 (1993)
9. Farrell, F.T., Jones, L.E.: The lower algebraic  $K$ -theory of virtually infinite cyclic groups. *K-Theory* **9**, 13–30 (1995)
10. Kuku, A.O.:  $K$ -theory of groupings of finite groups over maximal orders in division algebras. *J. Algebra* **91**(1), 18–31 (1985)
11. Kuku, A.O.:  $K_n$ ,  $SK_n$  of integral group rings and orders. *Contemp. Math. AMS* **55**, 333–338 (1986)
12. Kuku, A.O.: Ranks of  $K_n$  and  $G_n$  of orders and groupings of finite groups over integers in number fields. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **138**, 39–44 (1999)
13. Kuku, A.O.: Profinite and continuous higher  $K$ -theory of exact categories, orders and group rings. *K-theory* **22**, 367–392 (2001)
14. Kuku, A.O.: Finiteness of higher  $K$ -groups of orders and group rings. *K-Theory* **36**, 51–58 (2005)
15. Kuku, A.O.: Representation Theory and Higher Algebraic  $K$ -theory. Chapman and Hall, London (2007)
16. Kuku, A.O., Tang, G.: Higher  $K$ -theory of groupings of virtually infinite cyclic groups. *Math. Ann.* **323**, 711–725 (2003)
17. Neisendorfer, J.: Primary homotopy theory. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **232**, (1980)
18. Reiner, I.: Maximal Orders. Academic Press, London (1975)
19. Weibel, C.: Mayer-Vietoris sequences and mod- $p$ - $K$ -Theory. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, vol. 966, pp. 390–407. Springer, Berlin (1982)